# GENETIC VARIABILITY, HERITABILITY, GENETIC ADVANCE, CORRELATION COEFFICIENT AND PATH ANALYSIS IN AJOWAN (*Trachyspermum ammi* L.)

## P. SUBRAMANIYAN<sup>a1</sup>, L. JEEVA JOTHI<sup>b</sup>, K. SUNDHARAIYA<sup>c</sup>, N. SHOBA<sup>d</sup> AND S. MURUGESAN<sup>e</sup>

abede Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Periyakulam, Tamil Nadu, India

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to study the growth and yield performance of ajowan genotypes (Trachyspermum ammi L.) at the Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, TNAU, Periyakulam during 2012- 2013. Totally twenty genotypes of ajowan collected from different parts of India were evaluated under field condition. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. Higher phenotypic and genotypic variation was recorded by the traits viz., number of flowers per umbel, number of umbels per plant, number of seeds per umbel. Phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variations were found to be high for seed yield per plant. The lowest GCV was observed by the plant height, days taken for first flowering, days taken for 50 per cent flowering, number of primary branches per plant, number of flowers per umbellet, percentage of fruit set, number of seeds per umbellet, 1000 seed weight. The lowest PCV was recorded by days taken for first flowering, days taken for 50 per cent flowering, number of flowers per umbellet and 1000 seed weight. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was recorded by 1000 seed weight, seed yield per plant, seed yield per hectare, number of umbels per plant, number of flowers per umbel, number of umbellets per umbel, number of secondary branches per plant, percentage of fruit set, plant height and days taken for 50 per cent flowering. Correlation study showed that there is a positive correlation between the traits viz., number of umbellets per umbel, number of flowers per umbel, number of seeds per umbel and seed yield per plant at both genotypic and phenotypic levels. The path analysis enlightened that the traits viz., seed yield per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of seeds per umbellet and percentage of fruit set had positive direct effect on the seed yield per hectare, suggesting that such traits could be selected in further breeding programmes. Among the genotypes evaluated, the genotypes Acc. No.3, LTa-26, LS-1 and GA-1 recorded higher seed yield per plant.

## **KEYWORDS:** Ajowan, *Trachyspermum ammi* L, h<sup>2</sup>, GA, Correlation, Path Analysis

Ajowan (Trachyspermum ammi L.) is a herbaceous annual plant belonging to the family Apiaceae. It is a minor seed spice with medicinal value. In India it is cultivated in the states of Rajasthan and Gujarat in a large scale and to a limited extent in Uttar Pradesh, Bihar, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab, Tamil Nadu, West Bengal and Andhra Pradesh. India is the largest producer and exporter of the ajowan seed in the world exporting to around 46 countries. In India during 2002-03, 1,630 tonnes of ajowan seeds were produced from 10380 hectare area out of which 935 tonnes of ajowan seeds worth Rs. 400 lakhs was exported. India exported 1047 tonnes of ajowan valued Rs.7.16 crores during 2009 (Anoop et al., 2012). The ajowan seeds are hot, pungent, stomachic, appetizer, aphrodisiac, carminative, laxative and diuretic. Ajowan is traditionally used as remedy for gastric disturbances and as a digestive aid. The thymol and carvacrol derivatives and other minor components of ajowan are responsible for its functional properties (Anilkumar et al., 2009 and Pathak et al., 2010). Ajowan is a cross pollinated crop and is an important seed spice. Its use as a medicine and industrial raw material shows its immense potential to be a main crop. So further research should orient towards developing high yielding varieties and standardization of production technology. Indian farming community has to

export potential. Meanwhile emphasis is to be given towards motivating farmers to take up commercial ajowan cultivation (Anoop et al., 2012). The primary objective in any crop improvement programme is the identification of promising genotypes for which knowledge on variability existing in a population is imperative. Ajowan is a crosspollinated crop exhibiting high variability for the economic characters and attempts were made to assess the extent of variability present in the population and distinguish the heritable part of the variation from the nonheritable variation. This would enable the breeder to formulate selection indices for crop improvement. The primary objective of this evaluation is to identify the genotypes capable of producing higher growth, yield and quality in ajowan. Studies on variability helps in locating the desirable plant type either for direct introduction or for use in the further breeding programme. The seed yield and thymol content is a complex character. Therefore, the knowledge on the optimal plant characteristics, the extent of variability available and character association with seed yields is essential to improve the yield in ajowan. Systematic assessment of variability using biometrical methods helps in understanding the breeding behaviour of ajowan. Besides variability analysis, correlation and path

be informed of the scope of ajowan cultivation and its

analyses helps the breeder to decide on crop improvement strategy.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted to study the "Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation coefficient and path analysis in ajowan (Trachyspermum ammi L.)". The experiment was carried out at the Department of Spices and Plantation Crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Perivakulam during August 2012 to May 2013. The experimental materials includes twenty ajowan genotypes obtained from Tamil Nadu (6 Nos.), Gujarat (12 Nos.) and Andhra Pradesh (2 Nos.). The experiment was laid out in a randomized block design and replicated thrice. The experimental field was ploughed thrice to get fine tilth. The seeds are sown in the raised bed of 7m X 1m at spacing of 45 cm X 30 cm. All the recommended cultural operations were carried out uniformly and a separate fertilizer dose at the rate of 80: 30: 30 kg of NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, 5 kg of Sulphur was applied to the field. Biometrical observations viz., Plant height (cm), Number of primary branches per plant, Number of secondary branches per plant. Days taken for first flowering, Days taken for 50 (%) flowering, Number of umbellets per umbel, Number of umbels per plant, Number of flowers per umbel, Number of seeds per umbellet, Number of seeds per umbel, Fruit setting (%), Seed yield per plant (g), 1000 seed weight (g) and Seed yield per hectare(t) were taken from randomly selected ten plants from each genotypes and the mean data were used for statistical analysis. The statistical parameters like mean, standard error coefficient of variation, correlation and path co efficient analysis were calculated for all the characters by the procedure as suggested by Panse and Sukatme (1985). PCV and GCV were computed based on the methods given by Burton (1952). Heritability in broad sense was calculated according to Lush (1940) and expressed in percentage. The genetic advance was worked out based on the formula given by Johnson et al. (1955).



Figure 1: Path co efficient analysis for growth and yield in ajowan

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Analysis of variance showed that the variance due to genotype was greater in magnitude for all the growth and yield parameters studied. It was also noticed that the analysis of variance for genotypes was highly significant and the result are present in the Table 1.The results of analysis of variance revealed that the genotypes of the present study showed significant amount of variability for all the growth and yield characters studied indicating the presence of genetic variability among the genotypes. This is in agreement with those of Agnihotri *et al.* (1997) and Singh and Choudhary (2008) in fennel and Dhirendra

Singh and Choudhary (2008) in ajowan. This suggested that the response to selection may be expected in the breeding programme for seed yield or its related traits. These findings are in association with the findings of Datta and Jana, (2012) in chilli and Zeinab Chaghakaboodi *et al.* (2012) in rapeseed.

Source of	D		Mean sum of square													
variation	f	<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>2</sub>	X3	<b>X</b> 4	<b>X</b> 5	X <sub>6</sub>	X7	X8	X9	X10	x <sub>11</sub>	x <sub>12</sub>	X <sub>13</sub>	x <sub>14</sub>	x <sub>15</sub>
Replicatio	2	10.52	0.29	112.	0.46	31.4	0.76	070.3	0.64	027.2	0.53	424.1	63.3	7.50	0.00	0.04
n	2	19.32	7	4	7	0	7	970.5	2	931.2	0	424.1	9	1	1	0
Treatment	10	270.2	4.65	740.	49.1	111.	8.03	5538.	4.11	5651.	7.72	4556.	197.	123.	0.02	0.06
Treatment	19	570.2	3	4	4	7	3	3	4	9	6	2	3	3	1	5
Error	20	51.12	1.55	76.8	16.1	18.7	0.72	128.0	1.06	449.4	2.79	012.0	20.7	4.38	0.00	0.02
	50	51.15	8	3	2	5	2	420.9	2		0	912.9	7	5	1	4
Moon		107.1	14.1	78.4	75.8	86.0	12.6	251.2	19.2	242.8	17.1	210.6	80.9	24.9	0.80	1.84
Ivican		107.1	7	0	7	0	7		8	243.0	8	219.0	3	5	2	4
5 F.J		4 1 28	0.72	5.06	2.31	2.50	0.49	11.96	0.59	12.24	0.96	17.44	2.63	1.20	0.01	0.08
5. Eu.		4.120	9	1	8	0	1		5	12.24	4	17.44	1	9	6	9
CD 5%		11.82	2.08	14.4	6.63	7.15	1.40	24.22	1.70	35.04	2.76	49.94	7.53	3.46	0.04	0.25
C.D. 5%		11.02	8	9	6	8	5	54.25	4	55.04	1		3	1	5	5
CV		06.67	8.91	11.1	5.29	5.03	6.71	8 244	5.34	8 606	9.72	13.76	5.63	8.39	3.37	8.35
C.V.		8	4	8	2	5	1	0.244	5	0.090	5		1	3	5	1

Table 1: ANOVA for growth, yield and quality traits in ajowan genotypes

X<sub>1</sub> Plant height (cm)

- X<sub>2</sub> Number primary branches per plant
- X<sub>3</sub> Number of secondary branches per plant
- X<sub>4</sub> Days taken for first flowering
- X<sub>5</sub> Days taken for 50 (%) flowering
- X<sub>6</sub> Number of umbellets per umbel
- $\mathbf{X}_7$  Number of umbels per plant
- X<sub>8</sub> Number of flowers per umbellet

The genotypic and phenotypic variation gives an idea about the variability existing in the germplasm, exclusively due to the genetic nature of a genotype and permit identification of component characters for selection. The highest phenotypic variance value of 2183.6 was observed by the number of flowers per umbel. It was followed by number of umbels per plant (2132.0) and number of seeds per umbel (2127.3). Whereas, the lowest phenotypic variance value of 0.007, 0.234, and 0.397 were observed by 1000 seed weight and seed yield per hectare respectively (Table.2). Analysis of genotypic variance showed that the higher genotypic variance value of 1734.1, 1703.1 and 1214.5 were registered by number of flowers per umbel, number of umbel per plant and number of seeds per umbel. Whereas, the traits 1000 seed weight (0.007) and seed yield per hectare (0.210) registered lower genotypic variance (Table.2). The results revealed that the relative magnitude of phenotypic variation was higher than the corresponding genotypic variation for all the traits, which indicated the influence of environmental factors on the expression of characters. Similar trend was noticed in case of phenotypic and genotypic variance. Genotypic

- X<sub>9</sub> Number of flowers per umbel
- X<sub>10</sub> Number of seeds per umbellet
- X<sub>11</sub> Number of seeds per umbel
- X<sub>12</sub> Fruit setting (%)
- X<sub>13</sub> Seed yield per plant (g)
- $X_{14}$  1000 seed weight (g)
- X<sub>15</sub> Seed yield per hectare(t)
- X<sub>11</sub> Number of seeds per umbel

variance and genotypic coefficient of variation explains major portion of phenotypic variance and phenotypic coefficient of variation. Hence the traits *viz.*, growth, yield and quality characters express the true genetic potential found in both genotypic and phenotypic level of a genotype and hence are highly dependable for exercising selection. This is in confirmity with the findings of Kohli *et al.* (1986) and Hariharan (1996) in fenugreek and Umanath *et al.* (1998) in coriander and Singh and Singh (1993) in french bean.

The estimates of variability on the basis of genotypic coefficient of variation, phenotypic coefficient of variation and environmental coefficient of variation were analysed and are presented in table 2. Genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for growth and yield traits ranged from 4.37 (days taken for first flowering) to 25.23 (seed yield per plant). Higher GCV observed by seed yield per plant (25.23) and seed yield per hectare (24.85). The moderate GCV value were found in number of secondary branch per plant (18.97), number of flowers per umbel (17.08), number of umbel per plant (16.43), number of seeds per umbel (15.87) and number of umbellet per

umbel (12.33). Whereas, the lowest GCV were found in 1000 seed weight (10.24), plant height (9.631), fruit setting percentage (9.479), number of seeds per umbellet (7.467), number of primary branch per plant (7.123), days taken for 50 per cent flowering (6.473), number of flowers per umbellet (5.231) and days taken for first flowering (4.37). (Table 2)

The result of the estimates of variability revealed that the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was higher than the genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) for all the characters studied indicating that the environment plays a major role in the expression of the character. However, in general the results showed that for most of the characters studied in the present experiment, the magnitude of GCV was closer to the PCV. High PCV and GCV values were noticed by the trait total phenol content (38.37 and 37.89), essential oil (33.11 and 32.14), protein content (31.36 and 30.57) respectively and seed yield per plant (26.59 as PCV and 25.23 as GCV). For the other traits viz., days taken to first flowering, days taken to 50 per cent flowering, number of flowers per umbellet, fruit setting percentage and 1000 seed weight, the estimates of PCV and GCV were close to each other. This indicated the role was played by genotype rather than environment and the characters having high genotypic coefficient of variation have better scope of improvement through selection. These findings agree with the findings of Sarada et al. (2009) in fenugreek and Magari et al. (2009) in radish. Similarly, Mahender Singh et al. (2001) reported relatively high estimates of phenotypic and genotypic coefficient of variation for number of grains per umbel, umbels per plant and grain yield in fennel indicating that these traits may be effective. Rawat et al. (2013) noticed high GCV and PCV for the characters like yield per plant and seed yield per hectare in fennel. Patel et al. (2008) reported the highest genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variations was recorded by volatile oil content in seed, total branches per plant and number of seeds per umbel in fennel. Similarly high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation for umbels per plant and seed yield per plant was observed by Rajput et al. (2004) in fennel. According to Abhav and Sastry (2011) PCV and GCV indicated the existence of fairly high degree of variability for seed yield per plant in fennel. High magnitude of PCV and GCV for seed yield per plant of fennel was observed by Singh and Mittal (2002). Meena et al. (2013) reported that PCV was slightly higher than corresponding GCV for umbels per plant and seed yield per plant in fennel.

In the present study, the moderate GCV was found for the number of secondary branch per plant (18.97 as GCV), number of flowers per umbel (17.08 as GCV and 19.17 as PCV), number of umbels per plant (16.43 as GCV and 18.38 as PCV), germination per cent in field level (16.02 as GCV and 17.63 as PCV), number of seeds per umbel (15.87 as GCV) and number of umbellet per umbel (12.33 and 14.03) and PCV level for the traits viz., number of seeds per umbellet (12.26), plant height (11.72), number of primary branches per plant (11.41) and fruit set percentage (11.03). Singh and Singh (2013) reported that moderate PCV was found in number of flowers per umbel, number of umbel per plant, germination per cent in field level, number of umbellet per umbel, number of seeds per umbellet, plant height, number of primary branch per plant and fruit setting percentage in coriander. Dhirendra Singh et al. (2006) reported that the high genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation was found in seeds per umbel in coriander. Similar findings have also been reported by Rao et al. (1981) and Jain et al. (2002) in coriander.

Estimates of heritability appreciate the proportion of variation. Heritability was in reality a measure of the efficiency in the selection system. The heritability estimates for different traits ranged from 37.10 (number of seeds per umbellet) to 90.20 (1000 seed weight). Among the different characters considered for genetic analysis the traits viz., 1000 seed weight (90.20), seed yield per plant (90.00), seed yield per hectare (89.90), germination per cent at field level (82.50), number of umbel per plant (79.90), number of flowers per umbel (79.40), number of umbellet per umbel (77.10), number of secondary branches per plant (74.20), fruit setting percentage (73.90), plant height (67.50) and days taken for 50 per cent flowering (62.30) registered high heritability. This is in accordance with the early works of Mishra and Rawat (2004), who stated a high heritability for all the characters studied except the number of seeds per umbel and 50 per cent flowering. Megeji and Korla (2002) also reported similar results for 1000 seed weight in coriander. These results indicate that these traits are greatly governed by additive genes and therefore these characters can be readily fixed by selection. Similar reports also made by Mahender Singh et al. (2001) in cumin and Patel et al. (2008) and Rawat et al. (2013) in fennel.

Heritability estimates when used in conjunction with genetic advance would give better information than the heritability alone. The reports of Johnson *et al.* (1955) indicated that the estimate of heritability and genetic

advance should always be considered simultaneously as high heritability is not always associated with high genetic gain. The utility of heritability estimates increased when they are used in conjunction with genetic advance expressed as percentage of mean (Johnson et al., 1955; Allard, 1960). The genetic advance for growth, yield and quality ranged from 0.161(1000 seed weight) to 76.45 (number of flowers per umbel). Estimates of high genetic advance were noticed by number of flowers per umbel (76.45), number of umbels per plant (75.98), number of seeds per umbel (54.24), number of secondary branches per plant (26.40). The moderate genetic advance estimates were noticed by plant height (17.46), fruit setting percentage (13.59) and seed yield per plant (12.30). Whereas, the lowest genetic advance estimates were noticed by days taken for 50 per cent flowering (9.052), days taken for first flowering (4.354), number of umbellet per umbel (2.824), number of seeds per umbellet (1.609), number of flower per umbellet (1.453), number of primary branches per plant (1.298), essential oil content (1.223), yield per hectare (0.895) and 1000 seed weight (0.161). (Table 2)

The genetic advancement as 5% over mean of growth, yield and quality ranged from 5.74 (days taken for first flowering) to 49.38 (seed yield per plant). In present study, high genetic advance as percentage of mean was noticed for seed yield per plant (49.38), seed yield per hectare (48.53), number of secondary branch per plant (33.67), number of flowers per umbel (31.35), number of umbels per plant (30.25), number of seeds per umbel (24.70) and number of umbellets per umbel (22.30). Similar findings were observed by Radjamany (1995); Rao et al. (1981) for 1000 grain weight and Jindla et al. (1985) for days to flowering, Agnihotri et al. (1997) and Singh and Mittal (2002) in coriander. The result showed that these traits are primarily governed by additive genes. Hence, these traits can be exploited for selection procedure as reported by Panse (1957).

The goal of any crop improvement programme is to achieve a high level of yield, which is a complex trait influenced by the component characters either in combination or individually. Besides the background information on the genetics of various traits and adequate knowledge of the inter relationship that exists between these traits with the yield and also the *inter* relation among themselves is required to enable the right of selection of characters for improvement. In the present investigation, significant to highly significant and positive association with yield was exhibited by number of umbellets per umbel, number of seeds per umbellet, number of seeds per umbel and seed yield per plant. The results suggested that these traits can be utilized as selection indices for yield improvement in ajowan. These findings are in line with those of Sanker and Khader (1991), Shah *et al.* (2003) and Datta *et al.* (2006) in coriander.

In this study, the genotypic and phenotypic correlation of all the traits studied except seed germination in petriplate method (-0.009), (-0.025), days taken for 50 per cent flowering (-0.152), (-0.161) and days taken for first flowering (-0.381), (-0.239) had negative association with seed yield per hectare and positive association with number of umbellets per umbel (0.540), (0.510), number of flowers per umbel (0.521), (0.570), number of seeds per umbel (0.669), (0.478), seed yield per plant (0.999), (0.999) and essential oil content (0.792), (0.765). These had significant correlation towards yield and all are considered as major yield contributing characters in ajowan. Jindla et al. (1985) reported positive association for number of umbels, number of umbellets, days to flowering and number of grains with seed yield in coriander. Similar result was observed by Sharma and Sharma (1989), Sharma and Sharma (1989), Ali et al. (1993), Mandal and Hazra (1993), Choubey et al. (1991) in coriander. Miheretu Fufa (2013) reported that the correlation coefficient analysis and revealed that seed yield was positively correlated with all traits except the number of umbels per plant and oil content in coriander. The seed yield had moderate positive correlation with days to flower, plant height and primary branches per plant. The present result is in agreement with Bahandari and Gupta (1991) and Tripathi et al. (2000), who reported positive association of plant height, days to flowering, days to maturity and umbels with seed yield in coriander. Singh et al. (2006) reported that seed yield was positively correlated with branches per plant and umbels per plant at genotypic level whereas negative correlation was observed with days to 50 per cent flowering in coriander. Meena et al. (2010) reported that yield per plant had positive and significant correlation with the number of umbels per plant, number of umbellets per umbel at genotypic and phenotypic level in coriander indicating that fruit yield could be improved by making selection on the basis of number of umbels per plant, number of secondary branches per plant, number of seeds per plant and number of umbellets per umbel. These findings are in line with those of Sanker and Khader (1991), Shah et al. (2003) and Datta et al. (2006) in coriander.

Dhirendra Singh et al. (2006) reported that seed yield was positively correlated with branches per plant and umbels per plant at genotypic level and negatively associated with days to 50 per cent flowering in coriander. Arul Sankar (2007) reported that the grain yield was positively correlated with the number of primary branches, number of productive branches, number of umbels per plant, number of umbellets per umbel, number of seeds per umbel, 1000 seed weight and except for days to first flowering all traits was found to be in positive correlation with seed yield in fennel. Belgin Cosge et al. (2009) reported that the highest positive correlation was recorded between single plant yield, number of umbels and umbellets in sweet fennel. According to Yadav et al. (2013) seed yield was positively correlated with number of umbellets per umbel in fennel. Kaosar Bardideh et al.(2013) reported that the essential oil percent and its components had high positive significant correlation for seed yield of black cumin. In cumin Kahrizi et al. (2011) reported positive significant correlation for essence and its components. Topal et at. (2010) reported that the seed yield and oil content had higher positive correlation with seed yield per hectare. Similar results were observed in Tunctürk and Ciftci (2004). As per the report of Savita et al. (2011) the correlation among yield and yield components indicated that seed yield per plant was positively and significantly associated both at genotypic and phenotypic levels with oil yield per plant, number of capsules per plant and seeds per capsule in contrary with Mishra and Yadav (1999) and Mohammed Akbar et al. (2003).

The knowledge on correlation helps to determine the component characters of a complex trait such as yield.

It has been generally accepted that correlation between pairs of characters represent a coordination of physiological process, which are often achieved through favorable gene linkages (Mather and Harrison, 1949; Mather and Jinks, 1971). Graffius (1964) reported that knowledge of the correlation between yield and yield components is upon which selection pressure is to be exercised to get higher yield.

The path analysis revealed that the traits seed yield per plant (1.146), number of secondary branches per plant (0.102), number of seeds per umbellet (0.074) and fruit set percentage (0.067) had the high positive direct effect on seed yield per hectare. Further, the seed yield per plant had positive indirect effect on seed yield per hectare viz., number of seeds per umbel (0.778), number of umbellet per umbel (0.625), number of flowers per umbel (0.606), number of primary branches per plant (0.583), number of flowers per umbellet (0.542), and number of secondary branches per plant (0.524) and high negative indirect effect viz., days taken for first flowering (-0.424). The fruit set percentage had high positive indirect effect viz., number of seeds per umbellet (0.048). The results suggest that the above characters play a major role influencing the seed yield per hectare both directly as well as indirectly through other yield parameters also. Hence, selection based on such characters would be effective in ajowan. This is in agreement with Beemnet Mengesh Kassahun et al. (2013) who reported that seed yield per plant is an important character in making selection for seed yield per hectare in coriander. Similarly the result is also in conformity with the findings of Peter et al. (1989), Ali et al. (1993), Rao et al. (1981), Bhandari and Gupta (1993), and Gayathri et al. (2004) in coriander.

	Mean	GV	PV	EV	GCV	PCV	ECV	Herita bility (h²)	GA at 5%	GA at Mean 5%
<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	107.1	106.3	157.5	51.13	9.631	11.72	6.678	67.50	17.46	16.30
<b>x</b> <sub>2</sub>	14.17	1.019	2.615	1.596	7.123	11.41	8.914	39.00	1.298	9.161
<b>X</b> 3	78.40	221.2	298.0	76.83	18.97	22.02	11.18	74.20	26.40	33.67
<b>x</b> <sub>4</sub>	75.87	11.01	27.12	16.12	4.373	6.865	5.291	40.60	4.354	5.739
X5	86.00	30.99	49.74	18.75	6.473	8.201	5.035	62.30	9.052	10.53
X <sub>6</sub>	12.67	2.437	3.159	0.722	12.33	14.03	6.711	77.10	2.824	22.30
<b>X</b> <sub>7</sub>	251.2	1703.1	2132.0	428.9	16.43	18.38	8.244	79.90	75.98	30.25
<b>X</b> <sub>8</sub>	19.28	1.017	2.080	1.062	5.231	7.478	5.345	48.90	1.453	7.537
X9	243.8	1734.1	2183.6	449.5	17.08	19.17	8.695	79.40	76.45	31.35
x <sub>10</sub>	17.18	1.645	4.436	2.790	7.467	12.26	9.725	37.10	1.609	9.369
x <sub>11</sub>	219.6	1214.5	2127.3	912.9	15.87	21.00	13.76	57.10	54.24	24.70
x <sub>12</sub>	80.93	58.85	79.62	20.77	9.479	11.03	5.631	73.90	13.59	16.79
x <sub>13</sub>	24.95	39.62	44.01	4.385	25.23	26.59	8.392	90.00	12.30	49.31
x <sub>14</sub>	0.802	0.007	0.007	0.001	10.24	10.78	3.375	90.20	0.161	20.04
x <sub>15</sub>	1.844	0.210	0.234	0.024	24.85	26.22	8.351	89.90	0.895	48.53

Table 2: Genetic parameters for growth, yield and quality traits in ajowan genotypes

	1	1	2		5		7	9	0	10		- 13	12	14	15
	XI	X2	x3	X4	x5	xo	X /	xo	X9	X10	XII	X12	x15	X14	x15
v1	1 000	0.469*	0.366	-0.388	-0.148	0.640**	0.607**	0.381	0.707**	-0.008	0.549*	0.123	0.314	0.037	0.304
<b>XI</b>	1.000	0.407	0.500	-0.500	-0.140	0.040	0.007	0.561	0.707	-0.000	0.547	0.125	0.514	0.057	0.504
x2		1 000	0.801**	0.222	0.287	0.537*	0.227	0.254	0.387	0 1 1 4	0.523*	-0.047	0.509*	0 461	0 496
		1.000	0.001	0.222	0.207	0.007	0.227	0.201	0.507	0.111	0.020	0.017	0.007	0.101	0.150
x3			1.000	-0.058	0.243	0.529*	0.324	0.399	0.304	-0.143	0.401	0.011	0.457	0.354	0.444
-															
						-		-	-						
x4				1.000	0.884**		-0.397			0.137	-0.501*	0.009	-0.370	0.070	-0.381
						0.614**		0.693**	0.712**						
-						0.4044	0.4054	-	0.404t						
x5					1.000	-0.481*	-0.485*	0 ( 45 **	-0.484*	0.309	-0.235	0.268	-0.139	0.245	-0.152
								0.645**							
						1.000	0.527*	0.562*	0.972**	0.045	0.000**	0.014	0.545*	0.055	0.540*
X0						1.000	0.337	0.302	0.872	-0.045	0.908	-0.014	0.545	-0.035	0.340
x7							1.000	0.727**	0.451	0 195	0.598**	0.027	0.217	0 247	0.207
A /							1.000	0.727	0.151	0.175	0.570	0.027	0.217	0.217	0.207
x8								1.000	0.484*	0.133	0.602**	-0.107	0 473*	0.276	0 466
x9									1.000	0.053	0.816**	-0.013	0.529*	-0.048	0.521*
x10										1.000	0.486*	0.718**	0.243	0.689*	0.232
x11											1.000	0.488*	0.679**	0.314	0.669**
x12												1.000	0.342	0.445	0.335
x13													1.000	0.315	0.996**
													L	1.000	0.210
x14			1		1								1	1.000	0.310
15													ļ		1.000
x15															1.000
		1	1		1	1	1		1		1	1	1	1	1

Table 3: Genotypic correlation for growth, yield and quality traits in ajowan genotypes

\*\*- Significant at 1% level

*_	Sign	ificant	at	5%	level
----	------	---------	----	----	-------

X <sub>1</sub>	Plant height (cm)
X <sub>2</sub>	Number primary branches per plant
X <sub>3</sub>	Number of secondary branches per plant
$X_4$	Days taken for first flowering
X <sub>5</sub>	Days taken for 50 (%) flowering
X <sub>6</sub>	Number of umbellets per umbel
$X_7$	Number of umbels per plant
X <sub>8</sub>	Number of flowers per umbellet

X<sub>9</sub> Number of flowers per umbel

X<sub>10</sub> Number of seeds per umbellet

X<sub>11</sub> Number of seeds per umbel

 $X_{12}$  Fruit setting (%)

- X<sub>13</sub> Seed yield per plant (g)
- $X_{14}$  1000 seed weight (g)
- X<sub>15</sub> Seed yield per hectare(t)

Table 4: Path analysis for growth, yield and quality traits in ajowan genotypes

	<b>x</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>X</b> 3	<b>X</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>X</b> 5	x <sub>6</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>X</b> <sub>8</sub>	X9	x <sub>10</sub>	x <sub>11</sub>	x <sub>12</sub>	x <sub>13</sub>	x <sub>14</sub>	x <sub>15</sub>
<b>X</b> 1	- 0.057	- 0.027	- 0.021	0.022	0.009	- 0.037	- 0.035	- 0.022	- 0.041	0.001	- 0.031	- 0.007	- 0.018	- 0.002	0.304
<b>X</b> <sub>2</sub>	- 0.017	- 0.035	- 0.028	- 0.008	- 0.010	- 0.019	- 0.008	- 0.009	- 0.014	- 0.004	- 0.018	0.002	- 0.018	- 0.016	0.496
<b>X</b> 3	0.037	0.081	0.102	- 0.006	0.025	0.054	0.033	0.041	0.031	- 0.015	0.041	0.001	0.047	0.036	0.444
X4	- 0.007	0.004	- 0.001	0.017	0.015	- 0.010	- 0.007	- 0.012	- 0.012	0.002	- 0.008	0.000	- 0.006	0.001	- 0.381
<b>X</b> 5	0.027	- 0.053	- 0.045	- 0.163	- 0.185	0.089	0.090	0.119	0.089	- 0.057	0.043	- 0.049	0.026	- 0.045	- 0.152
X <sub>6</sub>	- 0.030	- 0.025	- 0.025	0.029	0.023	- 0.047	0.025	- 0.027	- 0.041	0.002	- 0.043	0.001	0.026	0.003	0.540
<b>X</b> <sub>7</sub>	0.011	0.004	0.006	- 0.007	- 0.009	0.010	0.019	0.014	0.008	0.004	0.011	0.001	0.004	0.005	0.207
<b>X</b> 8	- 0.050	- 0.034	- 0.053	0.091	0.085	- 0.074	- 0.096	- 0.132	- 0.064	- 0.018	- 0.079	0.014	- 0.062	- 0.036	0.466
X9	-	-	-	0.016	0.011	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.000	-	0.001	0.521

#### SUBRAMANIYAN ET. AL.: GENETIC VARIABILITY, HERITABILITY, GENETIC ADVANCE, CORRELATION...

	0.016	0.009	0.007			0.019	0.010	0.011	0.022	0.001	0.018		0.012		
X10	- 0.001	0.008	- 0.011	0.010	0.023	- 0.003	0.014	0.010	0.004	0.074	0.036	0.053	0.018	0.051	0.232
X11	- 0.071	- 0.068	- 0.052	0.065	0.030	- 0.117	- 0.077	- 0.078	- 0.106	- 0.063	- 0.129	- 0.063	- 0.088	- 0.041	0.668
x <sub>12</sub>	0.008	- 0.003	0.001	0.001	0.018	- 0.001	0.002	- 0.007	- 0.001	0.048	0.033	0.067	0.023	0.030	0.335
X <sub>13</sub>	0.359	0.583	0.524	- 0.424	- 0.160	0.625	0.249	0.542	0.606	0.278	0.778	0.391	1.146	0.361	1.000
x <sub>14</sub>	- 0.003	- 0.039	- 0.030	- 0.006	- 0.021	0.005	- 0.021	- 0.023	0.004	- 0.058	- 0.026	- 0.037	- 0.026	- 0.084	0.310
X15															0.066
						R S	QUARE	= 1.0008	RESIDU	JAL					
							EFFECT	=SQRT(1	1-1.0008	)					

- X<sub>1</sub> Plant height (cm)
- X<sub>2</sub> Number primary branches per plant
- X<sub>3</sub> Number of secondary branches per plant
- X<sub>4</sub> Days taken for first flowering
- X<sub>5</sub> Days taken for 50 (%) flowering
- X<sub>6</sub> Number of umbellets per umbel
- X<sub>7</sub> Number of umbels per plant
- X<sub>8</sub> Number of flowers per umbellet

## REFERENCES

- Dashora A. and Sastry E.V.D., 2011. Variability, character association and path coefficient analysis in fennel. Indian J. Hort., **68**(3): 351-356.
- Agnihotri P., Dashora S.L. and Sharma R.K., 1997. Variability, correlation and path analysis in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). J. Spices Arom. Crops, **6**:51–54.
- Ali S.A., Mishra L.N., Yadav and Maurya K.N., 1993. Variability and correlation studies in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Intern. J. Trop. Agric., 11(1): 40-42.
- Allard R.W., 1960. Principles of plant breeding. John Willy and Sons., Inc., New York. pp663.
- Anilakumar K.R., Saritha V., Farhat K. and Bawa A.S., 2009. Ameliorative effect of ajwain extract on hexachlorocyclohexane-induced lipid peroxidation in rat liver. Food and Chem. Toxicol, 47: 279-282.
- Anoop A. Shetty., Buckseth T. and Naresh V.S., 2012. Ajowan a under utilized seed spice. Spice India 25(2): 10-13.
- Arul Sankar A., 2007. Evaluation of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) genotypes for yield and quality.
  M.Sc. (Hort.). Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore, India.

- X<sub>9</sub> Number of flowers per umbel
- X<sub>10</sub> Number of seeds per umbellet
- X<sub>11</sub> Number of seeds per umbel
- X<sub>12</sub> Fruit setting (%)
- X<sub>13</sub> Seed yield per plant (g)
- $X_{14}$  1000 seed weight (g)
- X<sub>15</sub> Seed yield per hectare(t)
- Bahandari M. and Gupta A., 1991. Variation and association analysis in coriander. Euphytica., 58:1-4.
- Kassahun B.M., Alemaw G. and Tesfaye B., 2013. Correlation studies and path coefficient analysis for seed yield and yield components in Ethiopian coriander accessions. African Crop Sci. J., 21(1): 51 – 59.
- Cosge B., Ipek A. and Gurbuz B., 2009. Some phenotypic selection criteria to improve seed yield and essential oil percentage of sweet fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill. var. Dulce). Tarim Bilimleri Dergisi, **15**(2): 127-133.
- Burton G.W., 1952. Quantitative inheritance in grasses. Proc. 6th intl. Grassland Cong., 1:24-84.
- Choubey P.C., Sharma B.R., Verma B.K. and Banafar R.N.S., 1991. Variability studies in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Scientific Hort., 2:137-144.
- Datta and Jana J.C., 2012. Stability of chilli genotypes for different seasons at terai zone crops.
- Datta S., Chatterjee R. and Satya, 2006. Correlation and path analysis studies on coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). The Hort. J., **19**:65-67.
- Singh D. and Choudhary S.P., 2008. Evaluation of ajowain (*Trachyspermum ammi* L.) genotypes suitable for

semi arid regions. J. Spices and Arom. Crops, 17(2): 167-171.

- Singh D., Jain U.K., Rajput S.S., Khandelwal V. and Shiva K.N., 2006. Genetic variation for seed yield and its components and their association in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) germplasm. J. Spices and Arom. Crops., 15(1):25–29.
- Gayathri S., 2004. Evaluation of coriander (*Coriandrum* sativum L.) genotypes for high leaf and grain yield. M.Sc., (Hort.). Thesis., Tamil Nadu Agril. Univ., CBE, India.
- Graffius R., 1964. A geometry for plant breeding. Crop Sci., 4: 241-246.
- Hariharan K., 1996. Studies on genetic variabilities in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenumgraecum* L.). Unpub. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore, India.
- Jain U.K., Singh D. and Jain S.K., 2002. Assessment of genetic variability in coriander. Ann. Pl. Soil Res., 4:329-330.
- Jindla L.N., Singh T.H., Rang A. and Bansal M.L., 1985. Genetic variability and path coefficient analysis in coriander. Crop Improv., **12**(2):133-136.
- Johnson H.W., Robinson H.I. and Comstock R.E., 1955. Estimation of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J., 47:314-318.
- Kahrizi D., Azizi K. and Haghi Y., 2011. Relationships among yield and yield components and essence in cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) under different climate conditions. Biharean Biol., 5(1): 63-68.
- Kaosar B., Kahrizi D. and Ghobadi M.E., 2013. Character Association and path analysis of black cumin (*Nigella sativa* L.) genotypes under different irrigation regimes. Not Sci. Biol., 2013, 5(1):104-108.
- Kohli U.K., Sharma O.P. and Singh J., 1986. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in f Hariharan, K. 1996. Studies on genetic variabilities in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenumgraecum* L.). Unpub. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore, India.
- Lush J.L., 1940. Intra-sire correlation and regression of offspring on dams as a method of estimating heritability characters. Proc. Amer. Soc. Animal Prod., 33:295-302.

- Singh M., Bhargava S.C. and Prakash V., 2001. Genetic variability in cumin (*Cuminum cyminum* L.) Under salinity. Agric. Sci. Digest, 21(1):57 - 58.
- Mandal A.R. and Hazra P., 1993. Correlation and path coefficient analysis in coriander. Madras Agric. J., **80**(7): 361-363.
- Mapari A.V., Peshattiwar P.D., Dod V.N. and Thoat A., 2009. Correlation and path analysis studies in radish. The Asian J. Hort., **4**(2):285-288.
- Mather K. and Jinks J.K., 1997. Biometrical genetics, Chapman and Hall Ltd., London, (2<sup>nd</sup> Edn.): 383 pp.
- Meena M.L., Kumar V., Kumar S., Yadav Y.C. and Kumar A., 2010. Genetic variability, heritability, genetic advance, correlation coefficient and path analysis in coriander. Indian J. Hort., **67**:242-246.
- Meena S.K., Singh B. and Meena A.K., 2013.Variability in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) for yield and yield attributes. Indian Res. J. Genet. and Biotech., **5**(2):117-124.
- Fufa M., 2013. Correlation studies on yield components, seed and oil yield in Coriander (*Coriandrum* sativum L.) landraces of Ethiopia. Wudpecker J. of Agril. Res., 2(10):277 – 279.
- Mishra A.K., and Yadav L.N., 1999. Genetic parameters and association analysis in Linseed. Indian . J. Agric. Res., **33**:113-118.
- Akbar M., Mahmood T., Anwar M., Ali M., Shafiq M. and Salim J., 2003. Linseed improvement through genetic variability, correlation and path coefficient analysis. Intern. J. Agric. Biol., 5:303-305.
- Panse V.G., 1957. Genetics of quantitative characters in relation to plant breeding. Indian J. Genet., 17: 318-328.
- Panse V.G. and Sukhatme P.V., 1985. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. Indian Council of Agricultural Research, New Delhi, pp. 155.
- Patel D.G., Patel P.S. and Patel I.D., 2008. Studies on variability of some morphological characters in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). J. of Spices and Arom. Crops.,17(1):29–32.
- Pathak A.K., Nainwal N., Goyal B.M., Singh R., Mishra V., Nayak S., Bansal P. and Gupta V., 2010. Pharmacological activity of *Trachyspermum*

*ammi*: A Review. J. of Pharmacology Res. **3**:895-899.

- Peter G.B., Vedamuthu M.D., Khader A. and Rajan F.S., 1989. Yield component in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). South Indian Hort., **37**(5): 287-290.
- Rajamany R., 1995. Studies on genetic variability in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). M.Sc.,(Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric. Univ., Coimbatore, India.
- Rajput S S., Singhania D.L., Singh D., Sharma K.C. and Rathore V.S., 2004. Assessment of genetic variability in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.) germplasm. In: Contributory Paper: National Seminar on New Perspectives in Commercial Cultivation, Processing and Marketing Seed spices and Medicinal Plants (p. 11), 25–26 in Jobner.
- Rao T.C., Karnakar Babu M. and Bavaji T.N., 1981. Path coefficient analysis of seed yield in coriander. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 51:726-758.
- Rawat S.K., Kumar S. and Yadav Y.C., 2013. Genetic evaluation for biometrical traits in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). J. Spices and Arom. Crops, **22**(1):85–87.
- Sankar K.B. and Khader M.A., 1991. Studies on genetic variability in coriander. South Indian Hort., **39**:312-14.
- Sarada C., Kalidasu G. and Rao N.H., 2009. Performance of ajowan genotypes in block soils of Krishna-Godawari zone. Ann. of Pl. Physiol., 23(1):99-100.
- Savita S.G., Kenchanagoudar P.V., Parameshwarappa K.G. and Rudranaik V., 2011. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and yield components in linseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.) germplasm. Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 24(3): 382 -386.
- Shah M.A., Singh D.P. and Jain D.K., 2003. Character association in coriander (*Coriander sativum* L.) and its implications in selection. J. Med. Arom. Pl. Sci., 25:385-391.
- Sharma K.C and Sharma R.K., 1989. Variation and character associations of grain yield and its component characters in coriander. Indian J. Genet., **49**(1):135-139.

- Singh D., Jain U.K., Rajput S.S., Khandelwal V. and Shiva K.N., 2006. Genetic variation for seed yield and its components and their association in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) germplasm. Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, SKN College of Agriculture, Jobner, Rajasthan, India. J. Spices Arom. Crops, 15:25–29.
- Singh R.K. and Chaudhary B.D., 1977. Biometrical methods in quantitative genetics analysis. Kalyani Publishers, Ludhiana, New Dehli, India. p-303.
- Singh Y.V. and Singh K.P., 1993. Study on direct and indirect influence of some yield traits on pod yield in french beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Haryana J. Hort. Sci., 22(1):51-55.
- Singh Y. and Mittal P. 2002. Studies on variability, heritability and scope of improvement in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). Bioved, **13**:7-9.
- Topal M., Ozturk E. and Polat T., 2010. Path analysis of seed yield components using different correlation coefficients in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.). The J. Animal and Pl. Sci., 20(4):220-224.
- Tripathi, Kamaluddin, Srivastava S.B.L. and Srivastava J.P., 2000. Variability, heritability and correlation studies in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). Centennial Conference on Spices and Aromatic Plants. 20- 23 Sep., Calicut, India. pp. 30-34.
- Tunçturk M., and Ciftei V., 2004. Relationships among traits using correlation and path coefficients analysis in safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) sown different fertilization levels and row spacing. Asian J. Pl. Sci., **3**(6):683-686.
- Umanath T., 1998. Evaluation and selection for grain and leafy types in coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.).Unpub. M.Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agric.Univ., Coimbatore, India.
- Yadav P.S., Pandey V.P. and Yadav Y., 2013. Variability studies in fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). J. Spices and Arom. Crops, **22**(2):203–208.
- Zeinab C., Danial K. and Alireza Z., 2013. Heritability and genetic advance in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). Iranian J. Genet. Pl. Breed., **1**(2): 16-21.